UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,111	12/02/2005	Marco Braun	40149/00301	1245
	7590 02/26/200 & MARCIN, LLP	8	EXAMINER	
150 BROADW	AY, SUITE 702		CHENEVERT, PAUL A	
NEW YORK, NY 10038			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/537,111	BRAUN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Paul A. Chenevert	3612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 11-24 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examing 10) The specification is objected to by the Examing 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 June 2005 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement. ner. a) □ accepted or b) ☒ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2005 06 02.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

- 2. The drawings are objected to because of the following minor informalities:
 - a. Reference number 3 should be added to Figure 1a (paragraph 0027, line 1).
 - b. EBENE should be deleted or else changed to cut-plane in Figure 1b.
 - c. (Schnitt B-B) should be changed to cut-line in Figure 2d (Paragraph 0037, line 6).
- 3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the end wall and body of the vehicle (claim 24) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.
- 4. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the

drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. Paragraphs 0012 & 0019 should be deleted.
 - b. Paragraph 0027, line 5, "control panel 4" should be changed to "control panel 1".
 - c. Paragraph 0031, line 5, "11" should be inserted after "cables".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

6. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 15-28 have been renumbered 11-24.

Art Unit: 3612

7. Claim 13 is objected to because on line 2, "have one of the following cross-sections: a U-shaped cross-section, a round cross-section, an oval cross-section and a polygonal cross-section" should be changed to "have a cross-sections selected from one of a U-shaped cross-section, a

round cross-section, an oval cross-section and a polygonal cross-section".

8. Applicant is advised that should claim 17 be found allowable, claim 23 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 10. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 12 doesn't limit the subject of the preceding claim and there is no automotive structure claimed other than the preamble, which is not limiting.

Art Unit: 3612

12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

Delmastro (US 6,354,623 B1; 12MAR02).

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 11-13 & 15-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

·

Delmastro discloses an automotive control panel (10) formed by inserting frame (14) of

prefabricated linear elements formed of fiberglass reinforced thermoplastic material into an

injection mold and a compression mold to attach a sheet element (12) formed of the same

thermoplastic material.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

14. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the

claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Art Unit: 3612

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

15. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Delmastro in view of Wada et al. (US 3,834,842 A; 10SEP74).

Delmastro discloses a control panel as described above.

However, Delmastro does not expressly disclose that each of the linear elements is a strip of honeycomb sandwich structure.

Wada et al. disclose a control panel employing a honeycomb sandwich structure.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the control panel of Delmastro, to employ a honeycomb sandwich structure, as taught by Wada et al.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to allow for a strengthened impact absorbing control panel, as is desired in this vehicle invention.

Therefore, it would have been a desirable and thus a prima facie obvious modification of the control panel of Delmastro by combining a honeycomb sandwich structure with the sheet elements to obtain the invention as specified in claim 14, as taught by the prior references' motivation, and not hindsight from the Applicant's disclosure.

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3612

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Paul A. Chenevert whose telephone number is (571)272-6657.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on 571-272-6659. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Paul A. Chenevert Examiner

Art Unit 3612

PAC 15FEB08

/Dennis H. Pedder/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3612